# ASSAP MOPS AI #64 Status

**Tom Eich** 

January 2007



Al #64: Regarding I/O interfaces between ASSAP and CDTI. Coordinate and propose degraded traffic and qualified traffic interface requirements between ASSAP and the CDTI.

#### Goals

- The determination of "degraded traffic" and "traffic qualification for each application" should be the responsibility of ASSAP (Application Processing).
- The presentation of this traffic information should be the responsibility of the CDTI (via Traffic Symbology, Traffic List, Traffic Messages, etc.).
  - Note: Some other device may be used to provide the Application Selection, Traffic Selection, and Traffic List (External Control Device for example)?
- The Interface Requirements should be Performance/Functional Based; not hardware dependant
  - For Example, ARINC 735B may contain the bit-to-bit interface requirements
- Interface Proposal (see Diagram on next page)
  - ASSAP will provide the "Traffic Capability Level for Each Application" to the CDTI based on the ASA MASPS, "Table 2-3 Traffic-ship Data Requirements by ASA Capability Level"



#### **Application Selection** > EV Aquisition, CD, ASSA, FAROA, EV Approach **Highlighted Traffic Selection** > Selected Traffic for Additional Information **Coupled Traffic Selection** > Selected Traffic for Coupled Applications \* EV App \* ICSPA/ASIA/M&S Traffic Data > Traffic Position > Traffic Type (ADS-B, TIS-B, TCAS) > Traffic TCAS Correlated > Traffic Alerts \* ASA Application Alerts \* TCAS Alerts > Traffic Capability Level for Each Application \* EV Acquisition **ASSAP** CDTI - Good - Degraded - Invalid (Not Displayed; Replace with TCAS) \* CD - Good - Invalid (Not Capable of Alerts) \* ASSA/FAROA - Good - Degraded - Invalid (Not Displayed; Replace with TCAS) \* EV Approach - Couplable/Good - Couplable/Degraded - Invalid (Not Couplable) \* ICSPA/ASIA/M&S - Couplable - Invalid (Not Couplable) **Own-ship Data** > Own-ship Position > Own-ship Alerts > Own-ship Capability Level for Each Application



### EV Acquisition Traffic Capability Level

### Traffic Capability Level

- Good (for visual aid acquisition)
- Degraded (for visual aid acquisition)
- Invalid (for visual aid acquisition)
  - ► Traffic should be removed or replaced with a correlated TCAS track

#### Issues

When traffic becomes close in range, traffic will become Degraded or Invalid (removed) due to the bearing uncertainty requirement thresholds. Is this behavior desired?



- CD Capability Level
  - Traffic Capability Level
    - Good (capable of generating CD alerts)
    - Invalid (not capable of generating CD alerts)
  - Issues
    - What will the CDTI use this information for?



### ASSA/FAROA Traffic Capability Level

- Traffic Capability Level
  - Good (for visual aid acquisition)
  - Degraded (for visual aid acquisition)
  - Invalid (for visual aid acquisition)
    - ► Traffic should be removed or replaced with a correlated TCAS track

#### Issues

Should invalid traffic be removed from the CDTI?



### EV Approach Traffic Capability Level

- Traffic Capability Level
  - Couplable/Good (desired)
  - Couplable/Degraded (acceptable)
  - Invalid (not couplable; not acceptable)
- **III** Issues
  - How will the CDTI differentiate these states?
  - How will the CDTI differentiate these states with other applications?



### ICSPA/ASIA/M&S Traffic Capability Level

- Traffic Capability Level
  - Couplable (acceptable)
  - Invalid (not couplable; not acceptable)
- **Issues** 
  - How will the CDTI differentiate these states with other applications?



- Own-ship Capability Level for Each Application
  - Issues
    - What is the CDTI expecting for own-ship capability levels?
      - ► Own-ship position quality?
        - EV Acquisition: not needed; reflected in the traffic states (good, degraded, invalid)
        - ASSA/FAROA: Good, degraded, invalid in respect to own-ship position on a surface map?



- Application Selection
  - **EV** Acquisition, CD, ASSA/FAROA, EV Approach
  - Issues
    - How will the CDTI handle Application Selections?
    - Can multiple applications be selected at the same time?
    - Does the CDTI require feedback/acknowledgement from ASSAP?
      - ► CDTI or ASSAP resets
      - ▶ Who will manage the application selection (Available, Selected, Failed, etc.)?
    - Can this function also come from an external control device; not from the CDTI?



### Highlighted Traffic Selection

- Selected Traffic for Additional Information
  - Note: Also used for ASSAP Track Priority Scheme
- Propose "Highlighted" versus "Selected"
  - Being recommended for the next release of ARINC 735B for clarity purposes. Note: ARINC 735B is also proposing a new "Engaged" state.
  - Issue Paper Needed to Deviate from the ASA MASPS.

#### Issues

- Can multiple traffic be highlighted at the same time?
  - ▶ If not, then the minimum is to handle "one" highlighted traffic.
- Does the CDTI require feedback/acknowledgement from ASSAP?
  - CDTI or ASSAP resets
- Can this function also come from an external control device; not from the CDTI?



- Coupled Traffic Selection
  - Selected Traffic for Coupled Applications
  - Issues
    - Can multiple traffic be coupled at the same time?
      - ► Example: One for EV Approach and another for ICSPA
    - Does the CDTI require feedback/acknowledgement from ASSAP?
      - ► CDTI or ASSAP resets
    - Can this function also come from an external control device; not from the CDTI?

